

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		§	
		§	
		§	
VS.		§	
		§	
DONALD E. HILL	(01)	§	
a/k/a "Don Hill"		§	Criminal No. 3:07-CR-289-M
D'ANGELO LEE	(02)	§	
SHEILA D. FARRINGTON	(03)	§	
a/k/a "Sheila Hill"		§	
DARREN L. REAGAN	(07)	§	
a/k/a "Dr. Darren L. Reagan"		§	
RICKEY E. ROBERTSON	(10)	§	
a/k/a "Rick Robertson"		§	

VERDICT OF THE JURY
(Count 10)

We, the Jury, find as follows regarding Count 10 of the indictment, that is, Conspiracy to Commit Bribery Concerning a Local Government Receiving Federal Benefits in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371:

DONALD W. HILL _____
"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

D'ANGELO LEE _____
"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

SHEILA FARRINGTON HILL _____
"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

RICKEY E. ROBERTSON _____
"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

VERDICT OF THE JURY
(Count 11)

We, the Jury, find as follows regarding Count 11 of the indictment, that is, Bribery Concerning a Local Government Receiving Federal Benefits in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 666(a)(1)(B) and 2:

DONALD W. HILL

"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

D'ANGELO LEE

"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

We, the Jury, find as follows regarding Count 11 of the indictment, that is, Aiding and Abetting in Bribery Concerning a Local Government Receiving Federal Benefits in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2:

SHEILA FARRINGTON HILL

"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

VERDICT OF THE JURY
(Count 12)

We, the Jury, find as follows regarding Count 12 of the indictment, that is, Bribery Concerning a Local Government Receiving Federal Benefits in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 666(a)(1)(B) and 2:

DONALD W. HILL

"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

D'ANGELO LEE

"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

We, the Jury, find as follows regarding Count 12 of the indictment, that is, Aiding and Abetting in Bribery Concerning a Local Government Receiving Federal Benefits in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2:

SHEILA FARRINGTON HILL

"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

VERDICT OF THE JURY
(Count 15)

We, the Jury, find as follows regarding Count 15 of the indictment, that is, Conspiracy to Commit Extortion in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1951:

DONALD W. HILL

"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

DARREN L. REAGAN

"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

RICKEY E. ROBERTSON

"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

D'ANGELO LEE

"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

If, and only if, you find D'Angelo Lee "Guilty" as to Count 15 of the indictment, answer the following: Did the government prove beyond a reasonable doubt that D'Angelo Lee was not entrapped? In answering this question, you are specially instructed as follows.

D'Angelo Lee asserts that he was a victim of entrapment in connection with Count 15.

Where a person has no previous intent or purpose to violate the law, but is induced or persuaded by law enforcement officers or their agents to commit a crime, that person is a victim of entrapment, and the law as a matter of policy forbids that person's conviction in such a case. On the other hand, where a person already has the readiness and willingness to break the law, the mere fact that government agents provide what appears to be a favorable opportunity is not entrapment. For example, it is not entrapment for a government agent to pretend to be someone else and to offer, either directly or through an informer or other decoy, to engage in an unlawful transaction.

If, then, you should find beyond a reasonable doubt from the evidence in the case that, before anything at all occurred respecting the alleged offense involved in this case, Defendant D'Angelo Lee was ready and willing to commit a crime such as charged in Count 15, whenever opportunity was afforded, and that government officers or their agents did no more than offer the opportunity, then you should find that D'Angelo Lee is not a victim of entrapment.

On the other hand, if the evidence in the case should leave you with a reasonable doubt as to whether Defendant D'Angelo Lee had the previous intent or purpose to commit an offense of the character charged, apart from the inducement or persuasion of some officer or agent of the government, then it is your duty to find him not guilty.

The burden is on the government to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Defendant D'Angelo Lee was not entrapped.

Did the government prove beyond a reasonable doubt that D'Angelo Lee was not entrapped?

D'ANGELO LEE

"Yes" or "No"

VERDICT OF THE JURY

(Count 16)

We, the Jury, find as follows regarding Count 16 of the indictment, that is, Extortion by Public Officials in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1951 and 2:

DONALD W. HILL

"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

D'ANGELO LEE

"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

If, and only if, you find D' Angelo Lee "Guilty" as to Count 16 of the indictment, answer the following: Did the government prove beyond a reasonable doubt that D' Angelo Lee was not entrapped? In answering this question, you are specially instructed as follows:

D' Angelo Lee asserts that he was a victim of entrapment in connection with Count 16.

Where a person has no previous intent or purpose to violate the law, but is induced or persuaded by law enforcement officers or their agents to commit a crime, that person is a victim of entrapment, and the law as a matter of policy forbids that person's conviction in such a case. On the other hand, where a person already has the readiness and willingness to break the law, the mere fact that government agents provide what appears to be a favorable opportunity is not entrapment. For example, it is not entrapment for a government agent to pretend to be someone else and to offer, either directly or through an informer or other decoy, to engage in an unlawful transaction.

If, then, you should find beyond a reasonable doubt from the evidence in the case that, before anything at all occurred respecting the alleged offense involved in this case, Defendant D' Angelo Lee was ready and willing to commit a crime such as charged in Count 16, whenever opportunity was afforded, and that government officers or their agents did no more than offer the opportunity, then you should find that D' Angelo Lee is not a victim of entrapment.

On the other hand, if the evidence in the case should leave you with a reasonable doubt as to whether Defendant D' Angelo Lee had the previous intent or purpose to commit an offense of the character charged, apart from the inducement or persuasion of some officer or agent of the government, then it is your duty to find him not guilty.

The burden is on the government to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Defendant D' Angelo Lee was not entrapped.

Did the government prove beyond a reasonable doubt that D'Angelo Lee was not entrapped?

D'ANGELO LEE

"Yes" or "No"

We, the Jury, find as follows regarding Count 16 of the indictment, that is, Aiding and Abetting in Extortion by Public Officials in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2:

SHEILA FARRINGTON HILL

"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

DARREN L. REAGAN

"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

VERDICT OF THE JURY
(Count 17)

We, the Jury, find as follows regarding Count 17 of the indictment, that is, Extortion by Public Officials in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1951:

DONALD W. HILL

"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

We, the Jury, find as follows regarding Count 17 of the indictment, that is, Aiding and Abetting in Extortion by Public Officials in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2:

DARREN L. REAGAN

"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

VERDICT OF THE JURY
(Count 18)

We, the Jury, find as follows regarding Count 18 of the indictment, that is, Conspiracy to Commit Deprivation of Honest Services by Wire Fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1348:

DONALD W. HILL

"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

D'ANGELO LEE

"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

SHEILA FARRINGTON HILL

"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

VERDICT OF THE JURY

(Count 18)

Special Findings

If you found one or more of the Defendants guilty of Count 18, please check the law that served as the source for the duties owed (you may check more than one, but your decision as to each one must be unanimous):

1. If you find that the Defendants whom you have adjudged guilty conspired in relation to the breach of a duty owed by DONALD W. HILL under law, please check the law(s) that you find were breached:

Texas Penal Code § 36.02: _____

Dallas City Charter Chapter III, § 10: _____

Dallas City Code of Ethics Chapter II, § 12A-3: _____

2. If you find that the Defendants whom you have adjudged guilty conspired in relation to the breach of a duty owed by D'ANGELO LEE under law, please check the law(s) that you find were breached:

Texas Penal Code § 36.02: _____

Dallas City Charter Chapter III, § 10: _____

Dallas City Code of Ethics Chapter II, § 12A-3: _____

VERDICT OF THE JURY
(Count 19)

We, the Jury, find as follows regarding Count 19 of the indictment, that is, Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h):

DONALD W. HILL _____
"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

D'ANGELO LEE _____
"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

SHEILA FARRINGTON HILL _____
"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

RICKEY E. ROBERTSON _____
"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

VERDICT OF THE JURY
(Count 20)

We, the Jury, find as follows regarding Count 20 of the indictment, that is, Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h):

DONALD W. HILL

"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

DARREN L. REAGAN

"Guilty" or "Not Guilty"

Foreperson